3	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/734,399	SHENOY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Suchin Parihar	2825
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allowable	
(1) Suchin Parihar (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) <u>Shun Yao (Reg.# 59,242)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 June 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 1, 11, 21,31 and 32	•	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writtorecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Buchin Pal		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner phoned Applicant to suggest amending independent claims 1, 11, 21, 31 and 32 in order to overcome a potential USC 112 2nd paragraph rejection, in order to place the application into a condition for allowance. Examiner pointed out to Applicant that the language "wherein a pin may be specified/associated", as recited in the independent claims, is indefinite and is subject to a potential 112 rejection. Examiner has made the suggested changes by way of Examiner's Amendment.

Suchin Parihar Examiner AU 2825

571-272-6210 .